REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register:	26 July 2002
Name of applicant/court:	Secretary for Internal Affairs
Applicant to the Labelling Body:	Not Applicable
Title of Publication:	e.g. The New Zealand Herald: March 21 - 27, 2002
Other Known Titles:	e.g.
Director:	Not Applicable
Producer:	Not Applicable
Publisher:	Wilson And Horton Ltd
Author:	Not Applicable
Format:	Newspaper Supplement
Country of Origin:	New Zealand
Language:	English

Components of film originally examined: Not Applicable.

Feature: Running time:

Trailers: Running time:

Total Running time:

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

… Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Unrestricted.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

● ●

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

● ●

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The newspaper supplement *e.g. The New Zealand Herald: March 21 – 27, 2002* is classified as:
Unrestricted.

The Court of Appeal in *Living Word Distributors v Human Rights Action Group (Wellington)* has stated that the words "matters such as violence" in s3(1) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classifications Act, 1993 must relate to activity rather than to expressions of opinion or attitude. This publication does not "describe, depict, express or otherwise deal with matters of violence" and therefore cannot be further considered.

As the publication does not contain any of the matters contained in s3(1) of the FVPC Act, it cannot receive any classification other than unrestricted.

OFLC Ref: 200443